FILE COPY

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
MAY 25 1959
JAMES R. BROWNING, Clerk

No. [redacted] 76

In the

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1958

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY, the HONORABLE LLOYD SHORETT, Judge; and TAXICAB DRIVERS AND CHAUFFEURS LOCAL UNION NO. 465, and GEORGE LANCASTER, its Secretary-Treasurer, *Petitioners,*

vs.

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON on the Relation of Yellow Cab Service, Inc., a corporation, *Respondent.*

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON

SAMUEL B. BASSETT
811 Alaska Building
Seattle 4, Washington
Counsel for Petitioners.

Of Counsel:
RICHARD P. DONALDSON
811 Alaska Building
Seattle 4, Washington.

THE ARGUS PRESS, SEATTLE

No.....

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1958

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY, the HONORABLE LLOYD SHORETT, Judge; and TAXICAB DRIVERS AND CHAUFFEURS LOCAL UNION NO. 465, and GEORGE LANCASTER, its Secretary-Treasurer, *Petitioners,*

vs.

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON on the Relation of Yellow Cab Service, Inc., a corporation, *Respondent.*

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON

SAMUEL B. BASSETT
811 Alaska Building
Seattle 4, Washington
Counsel for Petitioners.

Of Counsel:
RICHARD P. DONALDSON
811 Alaska Building
Seattle 4, Washington.

THE ARGUS PRESS, SEATTLE

INDEX

	Page
Citations to Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	2
Statutory Provisions Involved	2
Questions Presented	2
Statement of the Case	3
1. The Seattle Yellow Cab Enterprise	3
a. Sale of taxicabs by the Yellow Cab Company, a partnership, to 118 purchasing corporations	3
b. The 118 purchasing corporations and Yellow Cab Service, Inc., conduct an integrated taxicab business	4
c. Revenue received by the Seattle Yellow Cab enterprise and franchises held by it	10
2. The Strike of Taxicab Drivers and Chauffeurs Against the Seattle Yellow Cab Enterprise	13
3. Proceedings in the Trial Court	14
4. Proceedings in the Supreme Court of Washington	17
Reasons for Granting the Writ	20
1. The Judgment of the Court Below Is Based Upon the Court's Erroneous Interpretation of the Scope of the National Labor Relations Act, Contrary to the Language of the Act and the Decisions of This and Other Courts	20
a. Contrary to the holding of the court below, the scope of the National Labor Relations Act extends beyond activities in interstate commerce to activities which affect interstate commerce	20
b. Decisions arising under the Sherman Anti-Trust Act and the Fair Labor Standards Act are inapposite in determining the scope of authority granted to the National Labor Relations Board by the National Labor Relations Act	22
c. Contrary to the holding of the court below, the jurisdiction of the National Labor Relations Board is not dependent upon a showing that there has been an actual stoppage or obstruction of interstate commerce	26

Page

2. The Judgment of the Court Below Conflicts with the Decisions of the National Labor Relations Board 28

3. The Judgment of the Court Below Conflicts with the Decisions of the New York Courts in *New York State Labor Relations Board v. Wags Transportation System, Inc.* 34

4. The Judgment of the Court Below Conflicts with the Position of the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service in This Case 36

Conclusion 37

Appendix A:
1. Opinion of the Supreme Court of Washington .. 39
2. Judgment of the Supreme Court of Washington 49

Appendix B—Statute Involved 51

Appendix C:
1. Press Release of National Labor Relations Board 56
2. Decision of National Labor Relations Board in the *Cab Services, Inc.*, Case, 123 NLRB No. 19.. 58

TABLE OF CASES

Brooklyn Cab Company, 90 NLRB 1898 (1950) 32
Bussard Taxi and Bus Service, 81 NLRB 1181 (1949) 30
Cab Services, Inc., 123 NLRB No. 19 (March 12, 1959) 29
Cambridge Taxi Company, 101 NLRB 1328 (1952). 32
Charman Service Corporation, 99 NLRB 534 (1952) 32
Checker Cab Company, 110 NLRB 683 (1954) 32, 33
Chicago Grey Line, Inc., 81 NLRB (1949) 30
Hotel Employees Local 255 et al. v. Leedom, U.S. ....., 3 L.ed.2d 143 (1958) 33
Gates City Transit Lines, 88 NLRB 79 (1949) 30
N.L.R.B. v. Alloy Cast Steel Co. (6th Cir. 1941) 117 F.2d 302 28

Page

N.L.R.B. v. American Potash and Chemical Corp. (9th Cir. 1938) 98 F.2d 488 28

N.L.R.B. v. Bradford Dyeing Association, 310 U.S. 318, 84 L.ed. 1226 (1940) 28

NLRB v. Denver Building and Construction Trades Council et al., 341 U.S. 675, 95 L.ed. 1284 (1951) 30

N.L.R.B. v. Fainblatt, 306 U.S. 601, 83 L.ed. 1014 (1939) 21

New Taxi Dispatch Corporation, 110 NLRB 1327 (1952) 32

New York State Labor Relations Board v. Wags Transportation System, Inc., 130 N.Y.S.2d 731, aff'd 284 App. Div. 883, 134 N.Y.S.2d 603 (1954) 34, 37

Phoenix Mutual Life Insurance Company, 73 NLRB 1463 aff'd (7th Cir. 1948) 167 F.2d 983 28

Polish National Alliance v. NLRB, 322 U.S. 643, 88 L.ed. 1509 (1944) 22

Red Cab, Inc., 92 NLRB 175 (1950) 21, 31

Red Cab Company, 98 NLRB 932 (1952) enf'd. *N.L.R.B. v. Cashman Auto Company* (1st Cir. 1952) 200 F.2d 412 32

Rite Rate Cab Co., 95 NLRB 1302 (1951) 32

Roane-Anderson Company, 77 NLRB 953 (1948) 26

Skyview Transportation Company, 90 NLRB 1664 (1951), reversing the prior decision in 90 NLRB 1895 (1950) 32

Skyview Transportation Company, 90 NLRB 1895 (1950) 32-33

State ex rel. Yellow Cab Service, Inc. v. International Brotherhood of Teamsters, et al., 153 Wash. Dec. 358, 33 P.2d 924 (1959) 2

The Taxi Transit Company, 102 NLRB 45 (1953) 32

Yellow Cab Company of California, 90 NLRB 1884 (1950) 31, 32

Yellow Cab Company (Wichita Falls, Texas) 103 NLRB 395 (1953) 32

STATUTES

Page

Fair Labor Standards Act, 52 Stat. 1060, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* 23

National Labor Relations Act, 49 Stat. 449, as amended, 29 U.S.C.A. §§ 141-187 ..... 12, 51

Sherman Anti-Trust Act, 26 Stat. 209, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 1, *et seq.* 23

61 Stat. 152, as amended, 29 U.S.C.A. §§ 171-174 36

28 U.S.C.A. § 1257(3) 2

NLRB Press Release, No. R-576, October 2, 1958 ..... 29, 56

In the

Supreme Court of the United States

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY, the HONORABLE LLOYD SHORETT, Judge; and TAXICAB DRIVERS AND CHAUFFEURS LOCAL UNION NO. 465, and GEORGE LANCASTER, its Secretary-Treasurer, *Petitioners,*	No. .....
vs.	
THE STATE OF WASHINGTON on the Relation of Yellow Cab Service, Inc., a corporation, *Respondent.*	

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON

Petitioners pray that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment of the Supreme Court of Washington entered in the above-entitled case on February 10, 1959.

CITATIONS TO OPINIONS BELOW

The oral opinion of the Superior Court for King County, Washington, is unreported, but is included in the certified statement of facts (St. 227-232).[1] The opinion of the Supreme Court of Washington is re-

[1] The record certified to this court by the clerk of the court below consists of a "Statement of Facts" (the official transcript of proceedings in the trial court); a "Transcript on Petition for Writ of Certiorari" (consisting of various pleadings, papers and documents in the case) and the original exhibits. In this petition, references to the Statement (St.) are references to the "Statement of Facts"; references to the Transcript (Tr.) are references to the "Transcript on Petition for Writ of Certiorari"; and references to the Exhibits (Plt. Ex. or Def. Ex.) are references to the original exhibits.